ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಹಿಂದಿನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ರಸ್ತೆ 60 ಅಡಿ ತಾನೆ ಇದ್ದುದು? ಈಗ ಅದನ್ನು 120 ಅಡಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಜಮೀನು ಎಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬರ್ತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನಮಗೆ ಭೂಮಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು ಆ ರಸ್ತೆಯ ಕರ್ವ್ಸ್ ಎಂದರೆ ಡೊಂಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ 74 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಪೂರ್ತಿ ರಸ್ತೆಯ ಅಗಲ ಮತ್ತಿತರ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಕಬಹುದು. ರಸ್ತೆಯ ಬೌಂದರಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಇತ್ತು. ಈಗ ಎಷ್ಟಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಮಾಚಾರ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿದ್ಧ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—A copy of the plan may be supplied. Will Sri Keshava Murthy furnish the Survey number of the land which he says have been encroached upon belonging to private parties ?

Sri H. R. KESHAVA MURTHY.—Sir, I want four days' time.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will grant one week's time to furnish the names, survey numbers, extent of land and names of persons who own them.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The Government is in a better position to get information earlier.

Sri H. R. KESHAVA MURTHY.—I am prepared to furnish the information, but the Hon'ble Minister will have to give me the co-operation I need.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I want the co-operation of all the Members.

Statement made by the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra regarding transfer of some areas of Mysore State to Maharashtra.

*Q.—320. Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR (Sampagaon-II).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether they are aware of the repeated statements made by the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra regarding the transfer of some areas of Mysore State to Maharashtra ;

(*b*) whether they intend to make a policy statement in the House about the stand of Mysore Government ?

*A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance) [on behalf of SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA Chief Minister)].—

(*a*) No. No such statement has been brought to the notice of the State Government officially.

(*b*) This does not arise. But the policy of the State Government has been known all along.

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Will the Government be pleased to restate the stand of the Mysore State in respect of the borders of the contiguous to the Maharashtra State ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, the case of the Government has been placed before this House and before the Council 101 times. Yet, if the Hon'ble Member wants to know the case of Mysore I am prepared to restate it :

The case of the Government of Mysore is that in view of the decision of the Parliament as embodied in the States Reorganisation Act,

(SRI B. D. JATTI)

the *status quo* should be maintained. The time-old demands for the distribution of provinces on a linguistic basis have been ultimately set at rest by the parliament in 1956. These decisions are final and admit of no further changes.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—Does it apply to Kasargod, Madakasira and South Sholapur?

Mr. SPEAKER.—This matter has been thrashed out thread-bare and fibre-bare. And then there is the Budget Debate coming in. I do not therefore propose to allow anything this way or that way.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—Supplementaries arise on this question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—But they should be allowed by the Speaker. If I allow one, I will have to allow others also.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—These supplementaries are *intra vires*

Mr. SPEAKER.—It may be *intra vires* but every supplementary need necessarily be allowed. Within the short time that is available today for Questions, there is one more Question to be taken up and two questions have been passed over. This matter has been discussed at least thousands times.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Still it has not been solved.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Are supplementaries going to solve it? Is it the desire of the House that supplementaries should be allowed on this Question?

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—No.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, it is your inherent powers to allow a supplementary or not.

Mr. SPEAKER.—But still, I wanted to take the sense of the House. In exercising my discretion my safest refuge is the desires of the House. If the desires of the House are expressed, my decision is accordingly shaped. What is my power except that it is a reflection of the will of the House?

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Sir, as for the theory that you just now propounded— discretion of the Chair and reflection of the House... etc. Every time when an inconvenient question is put, naturally the House would try to avoid that question, and if the same rule is to be applied to every question, then I am afraid, it will be circumventing.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—What does the member want me to do?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—The Speaker's opinion should prevail.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I expressed the opinion that this border Question was discussed many times and even yesterday, it was taken up.

I want to hear Sri B. R. Sunthankar.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I submit, Sir, that a new point has been raised in this question that very recently the Chief Minister of Maharashtra has made certain statements on the floor of the Maharashtra Assembly. This is a new question and we want to have certain clarifications on that point. What are the reactions of this Government on that new point, we want to know, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member will have ample opportunities in the course of the Budget Debate. It can be raised without any difficulty at all.

SRI B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—The Border question cannot be raised dusing the budget discussion, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER.—What does the member mean ? Then can I take it that this subject will not be raised during the Budget discussion ? I expect no Member to rise the border Question. In that case I will allow ten minutes.

SRI B. P. KADAM.—When the question is on the anvil why should not supplementaries be put, Sir ?

MR SPEAKER.—The Members will be bound by it. Sri Sunthankar says that he cannot refer to the border question in the course of Buget discussion. If that is the case, I will permit supplementaries now and finish it.

SRI B P. KADAM.—What is the stand of the Government on Kasargod, Madakasira, South Sholapur and Akkalkot ?

MR. SPEAKER.—How does it arise ?

SRI B. P. KADAM.—Certainly it arises.

MR. SPEAKER.—Then I disallow that question.

SRI B. P. KADAM.—You have great animus against us.

SRI M. V. RAMA RAO.—The Hon'ble Member Sri B. P. Kadam said that the Speaker has animus against him.

SRI B. P. KADAM.—I said, 'against Members' who claim Marathi areas to go to Maharashtra.

MR. SPEAKER.—Will the Hon'ble Member kindly withdraw it ?

SRI B. P. KADAM.—If it is not a fact, I will withdraw it.

MR. SPEAKER.—He has to make up his mind. If he likes to repeat it, I will have to take action against him.

SRI B. P. KADAM.—If the Hon'ble Speaker says it is not a fact, I will withdraw it.

MR. SPEAKER.—I do not want any condition. Is the Hon'ble withdrawing it ?

SRI B. P. KADAM.—I did not mean like that, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER.—I do not want any meanings. Will he withdraw it ?

SRI B. P. KADAM.—If it is like that, I withdraw it.

MR. SPEAKER.—Let the Hon'ble Member not put any pre-condition. I want to know whether he will withdraw it unconditionally.

SRI B. P. KADAM.—I have already said that if it is not so, I withdraw it.

MR. SPEAKER.—I do not want 'ifs'. I want him to withdraw it unconditionally without any 'ifs'.

SRI B. P. KADAM.—I have already said, that if it is so I have withdrawn it.

MR. SPEAKER.—I do not want any condition, I have given him three chances.

SRI B. P. KADAM.—I have said that if it is so, I have withdrawn it unconditionally.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does Sri Kadam understand English or not?

Sri B. P. KADAM.—I have studied English, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not want any conditional withdrawal. If he attributes animus, let him say so clearly; Let him have the courage to say so?

Sri B. P. KADAM.—I have said that I have withdrawn it. Is it sufficient?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is he putting a question? What is the meaning of his saying 'is it sufficient' After all, there must be a limit. I am trying to give as much chance as possible.....

Sri B. P. KADAM.—I have also got some experience in parliamentary procedure.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Should he sit down and talk? The Hon'ble Member must stand and speak.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—If I stand, I am fired. What am I to do?

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is it that the Hon'ble Member means by that? I want an explanation from the member.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—What explanation am I required to give?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He said that he was fired. He said that, sitting.

Sri B. P. KADAM.—I just inadvertently sat down and uttered a word or two. When I was threatened I uttered a word or two without standing. I casually stated the position.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After repeated warnings for the fourth or fifth time he says that he withdraws and then he makes a remark. Is that the kind of apology to be given to the House? Is that the reply to the House?

Sri B. P. KADAM.—I have got respect for the House and also for the Chair and I also know my responsibilities.

Mr. SPEAKER.—But the way he is behaving, it looks that he is not in the House.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I quite see the point because it is within your discretion to allow or not to allow supplementaries to be put. But what will be the reactions and repercussions when a particular section of the House is not permitted to put supplementaries. I am not making any sarcastic or any sort of remark against the Chair. I am just bringing it to the notice of the Chair the reaction and the repercussions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He has said it.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Since we are not allowed to put any supplementaries on the question, we are staging a walk-out.

(Sri B. R. Sunthankar withdrew from the House followed by Sri B. P. Kadam)